Subject No. 38.

Sub-Registrars to maintain registers regarding notice of attachment.

Mr. C. Subba Rao, Nagar Sabha.
„ S. R. Balakrishna Rao.

Summary of Representation.—Notice of attachment of immovables of the value of Rs. 100 and upwards in execution of orders and decrees of Civil Courts may be given to the Sub-Registrars who may be directed to enter the same in a separate Register.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be considered in consultation with the Inspector General of Registration and such early action as may be deemed suitable will be taken.

Subject No. 39.

Reduction of Court-fee Stamps.

Mr. Madappa, Mysore.
„ Linge Gowda.

Summary of Representation.—An institution fee of 5 per cent on suits for less than Rs. 500 is sought in the interests of suitors.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be reduced now.

Subject No. 40.

Sureties for attached movables.

Mr. Krishna Rao, T. Narsipur.
„ S. R. Balakrishna Rao.

Summary of Representation.—When sureties for attached movables do not produce the same, the parties are compelled to bring a suit against them. This increases litigation. Provision may therefore be made in the Civil Procedure Code to enable the parties to proceed against the sureties after decree on the execution side. (See Section 253, Civil Procedure Code, as to sureties before judgment.)

Reply of Government.—This subject was once discussed in 1901. The matter will again be brought to the notice of the Chief Court.

Subject No. 41.

The Small Cause Jurisdiction of Sub-Judges.

Mr. Narasimhaiya Chetty, Channapatna.
„ C. Subba Rao.

Summary of Representation.—The Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judges may be reduced to Rs. 100.

Reply of Government.—The opinion of the Chief Court will be invited on the subject. There seems to be a general feeling that the reduction suggested is desirable.

Subject No. 42.

Abolition of Court-fee on succession certificates.

Mr. K. Narasimhaiya Chetty.
„ Guru Rao.
„ K. Venkatasubbaiya.

Summary of Representation.—Two per cent court-fee on succession certificates, since introduced, may be abolished allowing the old order to continue.

Messrs. Guru Rao, K. Venkatasubbia and Nanjanna prayed that the Court-fee on certificates under Rs. 100 at least, might be excused.

Reply of Government.—This subject will be considered by Government in consultation with the Chief Court which will be requested to favor Government with the necessary statistics such as the number of cases instituted, value of property involved and the fees collected. The attention of the Chief Court will also be invited to the reduction of fees in the Indian Court Fees Act.

Subject No. 43.

Rules for the determination of periods of limitation.

Mr. Sarjapur Subbaraya Bhataru.
„ Krishna Rao.

Summary of Representation.—The principle of determining the periods of limitation in suits filed by Inamdars is different in different Courts. One uniform rule may be framed for the guidance of all Courts.

Reply of Government.—The prayer is unintelligible without reference to concrete cases. The matter will be referred to the Chief Court for investigation.